



Value Migration Intelligence for Competitive Repositioning in Hybrid Commerce Networks

Dr. Celeste M. Laurent

Associate Professor of Commerce and Strategic Management
Northbridge Institute of Business Studies, Lyon, France

Article Type: Conceptual and Descriptive Research Paper

Abstract

Hybrid commerce networks combine physical stores, digital marketplaces, brand-owned interfaces, service partners, and customer communities. In these environments, competitive value frequently migrates between channels, product configurations, customer experiences, and participating firms. Managers therefore require more than conventional sales reporting: they need intelligence capable of distinguishing durable shifts in customer value from temporary transaction movement. This conceptual study examines value migration intelligence as an integrative approach to identifying, interpreting, and responding to changes in where value is created, experienced, and captured across hybrid commercial arrangements. The paper adopts a descriptive analytical design based on selected scholarly literature concerning value migration, market orientation, dynamic capabilities, business models, retail integration, and platform ecosystems. It develops a distinction between customer-value migration, channel migration, and profit-pool migration, and explains why these processes do not necessarily move together. Opportunities include earlier recognition of underserved customer needs, better channel coordination, differentiated value propositions, and more disciplined investment. Major challenges include fragmented information, platform-controlled visibility, attribution errors, organizational inertia, and the risk of overreacting to short-term demand patterns. The study proposes a practical cycle involving signal detection, validation, value-flow mapping, option selection, controlled experimentation, and learning. This is a theoretical synthesis rather than an empirical test: it reports no surveys, observations, numerical effects, or causal estimates. Its contribution is a structured framework through which strategic managers can evaluate where competitive advantage may be shifting and how repositioning choices can be made with appropriate financial and organizational safeguards.

Keywords: Value Migration Intelligence; Hybrid Commerce Networks; Competitive Repositioning; Omnichannel Strategy; Platform Ecosystems; Dynamic Capabilities; Customer Value; Strategic Management

1. Introduction

Contemporary commerce increasingly occurs through interconnected physical and digital arrangements rather than through a single selling channel. Consumers may discover a product through social media, compare alternatives on a marketplace, inspect a physical location, and finalize a purchase through a brand-owned application. Such journeys complicate conventional approaches to identifying which organizational activities generate customer value and which arrangements allow the firm to retain economic returns. Verhoef et al. (2015) describe the transition from multichannel to omnichannel retailing as a broader reconfiguration of the shopping process across connected touchpoints.

Competitive value does not remain fixed within particular products, locations, or business models. Slywotzky (1996) conceptualized value migration as a shift in economic value toward business designs that better match evolving customer priorities. This idea remains useful where digital intermediation changes the relative importance of convenience, assortment, trust, delivery reliability, personalization, and service continuity. A firm may continue to sell products successfully while important elements of customer attention, bargaining power, or profitability move elsewhere in the network.

Hybrid networks create both collaboration and competition. A retailer may use an external platform to gain visibility while competing with other merchants and relying on the platform for transaction rules. Brand-owned outlets may complement marketplace activity but may also create channel conflict. These interdependencies mean that strategic repositioning requires an understanding of how value is shared among customers, firms, platforms, logistics providers, and complementary services (Adner, 2017; Jacobides et al., 2018).

The central argument of this paper is that value migration intelligence is a managerial interpretation capability rather than simply a data-processing technology. Its purpose is to recognize material changes in customer benefit, willingness to pay, and value capture, then connect those

findings to feasible strategic choices. Accurate repositioning requires contextual judgment and organizational coordination as much as it requires information availability (Day, 1994; Teece, 2007).

2. Statement of the Problem

Firms increasingly possess transaction data without possessing an equally clear understanding of economic value migration. Channel-level revenue growth may reflect promotion, traffic diversion, or temporary convenience rather than a lasting improvement in the competitive position of the business. At the same time, a declining sales channel may continue to play an important role in customer acquisition, product evaluation, service support, or trust formation. Assessing channels independently can therefore generate misleading decisions about resource allocation and market positioning.

The problem is intensified when customer interactions cross organizational boundaries. Digital platforms, payment intermediaries, delivery providers, and physical outlets may retain different fragments of customer information. Managers face a risk of attributing value to the touchpoint where a purchase occurs while overlooking previous interactions that shaped the customer's decision. Such partial evidence may encourage premature closure of useful channels or investment in apparently fast-growing activities with weak profit retention.

An additional challenge concerns strategic timing. Organizations can react too slowly to persistent shifts in customer needs, yet rapid responses to volatile data can produce costly reconfiguration without sufficient justification. The study therefore considers how market intelligence can be organized into a disciplined process for detecting value migration and determining when competitive repositioning is warranted.

3. Objectives of the Study

The study aims to examine how firms operating in hybrid commerce networks can recognize shifts in customer priorities, cross-channel activity, and the distribution of financial value. The conceptual emphasis is on strategic interpretation and management decisions rather than on the prediction of a single sales indicator.

It further seeks to clarify the conditions under which data from multiple commercial touchpoints can inform competitive repositioning. The objectives are intended to support a structured examination of opportunities, challenges, and possible managerial responses without claiming that the proposed approach has already been empirically validated.

- To explain the conceptual relationship between value migration, hybrid commerce, and competitive repositioning.
- To identify commercially relevant signals of shifting customer value and channel economics.
- To examine opportunities for repositioning through channel coordination and business-model adaptation.
- To assess barriers relating to information fragmentation, intermediary dependence, and organizational inertia.
- To propose an evidence-informed strategic response cycle for future empirical testing.

4. Research Methodology

The paper uses a descriptive-conceptual research design based on selective secondary literature. Its analytical basis comprises foundational scholarship on value migration and strategic positioning, market orientation, dynamic capabilities, platform economics, ecosystems, service-dominant logic, omnichannel retailing, and digital transformation. Literature was selected for direct conceptual relevance, with attention to sources that provide distinct explanatory mechanisms rather than numerous overlapping descriptions. The article does not claim a systematic database search or an exhaustive review corpus.

Conceptual themes were compared in three analytical stages. First, the value migration literature was used to distinguish shifts in customer preference from shifts in captured profitability. Second, research on channels, ecosystems, and platforms informed the analysis of interdependent actors and customer journeys. Third, strategic management theory was used to connect these shifts to organizational sensing, option selection, coordination, and reconfiguration. The resulting framework is a reasoned synthesis and is not represented as an experimentally established model.

No respondent data, firm-level performance records, interviews, or simulated statistical outcomes were created for this paper. The illustrations are conceptual descriptions of relationships and recommended decision processes; they do not constitute empirical findings. This approach is

appropriate for defining constructs and generating testable propositions, although it cannot estimate effect sizes or demonstrate the superiority of any particular repositioning strategy.

5. Conceptual Understanding of Value Migration Intelligence

Value migration intelligence is defined here as an organizational capability for detecting and interpreting sustained changes in the location, composition, and capture of value across customers, offerings, channels, and commercial partners. The definition combines Slywotzky's (1996) business-design perspective with market-sensing capabilities (Day, 1994) and the ability to reconfigure assets and activities when circumstances change (Teece, 2007). It includes, but extends beyond, conventional market research because it examines who receives economic benefits and which firms retain the proceeds.

Three kinds of movement should be kept analytically distinct. Customer-value migration occurs when the attributes people prioritize change—for example, toward flexibility, speed, reliability, or integrated service. Channel migration occurs when activities such as search, comparison, purchase, pickup, and support shift between physical and digital touchpoints. Profit-pool migration occurs when the distribution of margins or bargaining power changes among participants. Although these movements may interact, the presence of one does not prove the others.

Hybrid commerce networks intensify the need for this distinction because channels perform complementary functions. A store might reduce customer uncertainty without recording the final purchase, while a marketplace might record a sale but impose substantial fees. Assessment of strategic value must therefore account for the whole customer journey, net economic contribution, and the continuing importance of complementary activities (Neslin et al., 2006; Verhoef et al., 2015).

6. Opportunities for Competitive Repositioning

The principal opportunity offered by value migration intelligence is earlier recognition of changes that conventional sales reporting may not explain. Tracking shifts in customer expectations, channel preference, service use, and economic contribution can reveal where a firm needs to strengthen its

distinctive value proposition. Such intelligence may support more selective innovation rather than a general expansion of products or digital activity.

Hybrid networks can also provide opportunities to develop complementarities across partners. Customer experiences often depend on coordinated contributions from merchandising, digital interfaces, fulfillment, and after-sales services. Firms that understand which combination of activities generates customer benefit can prioritize investments that improve the overall proposition and reduce avoidable channel friction (Adner, 2017; Vargo & Lusch, 2004).

6.1 Recognition of Emerging Customer Priorities

Changes in expectations concerning convenience, trust, transparency, delivery, and service continuity can indicate that established differentiation is weakening. Systematic interpretation of customer feedback and behavior may help managers identify developing gaps between current offerings and the benefits customers increasingly value.

Customer-value signals should be tested against observable behavior and commercial outcomes. A stated preference does not necessarily translate into willingness to pay, and a sudden increase in attention may reflect promotional effects rather than durable demand.

6.2 Coordinated Use of Physical and Digital Touchpoints

Hybrid commerce allows firms to combine personal interaction, physical inspection, digital accessibility, and convenient fulfillment. Repositioning can therefore involve changing the roles of existing channels rather than replacing one channel with another.

The strategic objective is to strengthen mutually reinforcing functions across the customer journey. Channel coordination may improve consistency and service while limiting unnecessary duplication, but investment should be guided by both customer benefit and cost-to-serve considerations.

6.3 Identification of New Value-Capture Possibilities

A firm can create substantial customer value without retaining an adequate proportion of the resulting financial benefit. Intelligence about commissions, customer acquisition, service expenses, and repeat purchasing helps distinguish visible commercial activity from attractive economic contribution.

New services, partnerships, or business-model adjustments should be considered when they improve the fit between the value delivered and revenue retained. Such opportunities require careful assessment of operational feasibility, competitive response, and possible effects on existing customer relationships.



Image 01. Value Migration Intelligence: Where Value Shifts

Source: Original conceptual illustration prepared for this manuscript; not empirical data.

7. Challenges in Hybrid Commerce Networks

Hybrid networks distribute information and decision authority across multiple organizational participants. Information about search activity, transaction completion, refunds, and service use may be separated among different systems. Even within one firm, marketing, operations, and finance teams can interpret value through different measures, making coherent strategic assessment difficult.

The uncertainty is not only technical. A firm may face strong incentives to defend its established channel investments, even when customer value is moving. Conversely, digital growth narratives may encourage it to adopt expensive new arrangements before there is sufficient evidence of lasting economic opportunity. Successful interpretation requires governance capable of resisting both inertia and reactionary change.

7.1 Fragmented Evidence and Misleading Attribution

Customer journeys are often only partly observable, and recorded sales may overstate the contribution of the final conversion channel. Missing information makes it difficult to determine whether a new channel is generating incremental demand or merely receiving purchases that would have occurred elsewhere.

Attribution errors can distort both investment decisions and managerial accountability. Organizations should therefore compare multiple information sources, document uncertainty, and avoid interpreting single-platform dashboards as complete descriptions of commercial performance.

7.2 Platform Dependence and Unequal Control

Intermediaries may influence access to customers, visibility of offers, pricing conditions, and data availability. Their legitimate commercial objectives may not fully align with those of participating retailers or service providers. Consequently, a firm can become more dependent on a channel even while gross transaction value increases.

The challenge is to distinguish valuable ecosystem participation from reliance that weakens strategic options. This does not imply that all dependence is undesirable; platform access can be highly productive. The relevant managerial question concerns the net benefit, degree of substitutability, and resilience of the commercial arrangement.

7.3 Organizational Inertia and Premature Repositioning

Existing assets, incentives, and reporting routines can make firms slow to recognize changes in value. Managers responsible for established operations may interpret unfamiliar demand patterns as temporary anomalies or defend historic measures of performance.

The opposite failure is to reorganize too readily. A temporary change in transactions or customer attention is insufficient justification for abandoning a viable business design. Organizations need evidence thresholds and reversible trials that permit learning before committing major resources.

8. Strategic Approaches to Value Migration Intelligence

Strategic repositioning should begin with a clear statement of the customer benefit, market context, and economic opportunity under investigation. Managers can then identify indicators of potential migration, assess source reliability, and distinguish short-lived fluctuations from patterns that justify further action. The aim is not to eliminate uncertainty but to make the basis for strategic judgment explicit and contestable.

The proposed response sequence has six connected activities. Firms detect changes in market signals; validate their relevance and reliability; map how economic and experiential value is moving;



select a repositioning option; conduct a bounded pilot across relevant channels; and review the results before extending or revising the initiative. Feedback can update both the intelligence process and the strategic choice.

8.1 Build a Cross-Channel Intelligence View

Managers should integrate available indicators of customer journeys, service performance, contribution margins, and channel interdependencies. Information should be interpreted at a level appropriate to the decision, with particular attention to differences between customer experience and recorded revenue.

A useful intelligence view is selective rather than encyclopedic. An extensive dashboard may create the illusion of completeness while obscuring the small number of indicators that matter for a specific repositioning decision.

8.2 Evaluate Repositioning Against Net Value

Options should be compared according to the customer need addressed, organizational capabilities required, financial resources committed, and expected effects on partners and existing channels. Managers should consider whether an apparent opportunity creates new value or redistributes existing activity.

Net-value assessment includes transaction costs, marketing expenditure, operational demands, and the likely durability of customer relationships. These factors help prevent resource allocation from being driven by channel growth alone.

8.3 Test Reversible Adjustments Before Scaling

Limited trials of product presentation, service configuration, fulfillment choices, or channel roles can produce useful evidence before major organizational changes. Tests should specify intended outcomes and conditions under which the initiative will be reconsidered.

Controlled experimentation need not imply that every change can be randomized. Where experiments are impractical, structured before-and-after evidence and careful documentation of competing explanations can still improve decision quality.

8.4 Institutionalize Learning and Strategic Review

Repositioning decisions should be reviewed after implementation so that unexpected customer responses and operational effects are recognized. The purpose is to strengthen future judgment, not to justify the initial decision irrespective of results.

Cross-functional reviews can connect commercial evidence with lessons from service delivery, finance, and partner management. Over time, repeated interpretation and feedback can contribute to stronger dynamic capabilities for identifying and responding to changing value configurations.

Image 02. Strategic Repositioning Cycle for Hybrid Commerce Networks



Source: Original conceptual illustration prepared for this manuscript; not empirical data.

9. Discussion

The synthesis suggests that competitive repositioning in hybrid commerce networks is best understood as a change in business design and organizational alignment, not simply a shift in promotional spending or sales-channel preference. Slywotzky's (1996) value migration perspective highlights the movement of economic opportunity, while market orientation explains the importance of generating and using intelligence about customers and competitors (Kohli & Jaworski, 1990; Narver & Slater, 1990).

The integration of physical and digital commerce complicates where value should be attributed. Omnichannel scholarship recognizes the connections among touchpoints, while ecosystem research emphasizes the interdependence of firms whose activities jointly shape customer

outcomes (Adner, 2017; Verhoef et al., 2015). A channel that produces modest direct revenue may still protect customer confidence or support higher-value transactions elsewhere. This makes isolated channel metrics an inadequate foundation for major repositioning decisions.

Dynamic capabilities research adds an organizational explanation of why firms differ in their capacity to respond. Firms must sense potential changes and have the willingness and coordination required to alter business models or resource commitments (Teece, 2007). Nevertheless, responsiveness is not synonymous with speed. A well-governed decision may involve additional observation when evidence is ambiguous, particularly if reconfiguration would be expensive or difficult to reverse.

The proposed framework is a managerial synthesis, not a validated predictive algorithm. Its value is in clarifying questions that managers should ask: What customer benefit is moving? Through which channels does the value flow? Which participant is retaining the margin? How dependable is the evidence? What response can be tested without making disproportionate commitments? Empirical research is needed to determine whether systematic use of these questions produces measurable performance differences.

10. Managerial Implications

Commercial managers should distinguish measures of customer benefit, channel usage, and profitability. These measures are related but should not be collapsed into a single indicator. Repositioning based on revenue growth alone can overlook increasing intermediary costs, service obligations, or decline in customer retention. The appropriate evaluation should include both customer outcomes and the organization's capacity to capture value.

Organizations should also establish shared responsibility for decisions that affect multiple channels. A physical store, digital platform, and fulfillment partner may each influence the customer relationship, yet no single departmental measure captures their combined contribution. Coordinated governance and clear decision rights can reduce the risk that one function optimizes its own results at the expense of the overall business.

Finally, decision-makers should prefer proportionate responses under uncertainty. A firm may initially redesign a service offer, strengthen a partnership, or test a revised channel role before

embarking on extensive restructuring. The scope and reversibility of the response should reflect the quality of the available evidence and the scale of the commercial risk.

11. Conclusion

Value migration intelligence provides a conceptual approach for identifying where customer value and economic returns may be moving within hybrid commerce networks. Because consumer journeys span physical locations, digital platforms, brand-owned interfaces, and service relationships, firms require a broader view of competitive change than that offered by individual-channel sales reports. An integrated interpretation of customer priorities, channel activity, and value capture can support more informed strategic repositioning.

The paper identified opportunities in recognizing emerging needs, coordinating touchpoints, and developing more suitable value-capture arrangements. It also examined limitations arising from fragmented data, platform dependence, attribution uncertainty, organizational inertia, and the risk of premature strategic change. These constraints show that collecting more information is not equivalent to developing better intelligence; information must be evaluated for relevance, reliability, and decision usefulness.

The proposed six-stage process links detection and validation to value-flow mapping, option selection, bounded implementation, and learning. Its emphasis is on analytical discipline and organizational coordination rather than continuous transformation. Repositioning is potentially valuable when it addresses a meaningful shift in customer benefit and produces a defensible path to economic sustainability.

Because this study is conceptual, no causal effects or comparative performance outcomes are claimed. Its primary contribution is a structured interpretation of how hybrid-network firms might connect changing market signals to repositioning decisions. Future studies can assess the framework across industries and test whether specific intelligence practices are associated with improved strategic outcomes.

12. Limitations and Future Research

The analysis relies on selected published literature and does not constitute a systematic review. It does not examine primary company records or track actual customer movements across platforms and stores. The proposed constructs therefore require operational definition and empirical evaluation before their predictive or practical effectiveness can be established.

Future research could compare firms with different levels of platform dependence, investigate the relationship between cross-channel intelligence and contribution margins, and examine how strategic response timing affects adaptation costs. Longitudinal research would be particularly useful for distinguishing persistent value migration from temporary market fluctuations.

13. Declarations

Conflict of interest: None declared for this illustrative manuscript. Funding: No external funding reported. Ethics: No human participants or personal data were involved. Data availability: No empirical dataset was generated. Author statement: Author name and institutional affiliation are explicitly hypothetical and must be replaced with verified contributor details prior to any submission.

14. References

1. Adner, R. (2017). Ecosystem as structure: An actionable construct for strategy. *Journal of Management*, 43(1), 39–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316678451>
2. Amit, R., & Zott, C. (2001). Value creation in e-business. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(6–7), 493–520. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.187>
3. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
4. Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights. *MIS Quarterly*, 37(2), 471–482. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37:2.3>
5. Cao, L., & Li, L. (2015). The impact of cross-channel integration on retailers' sales growth. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(2), 198–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2014.12.005>



6. Day, G. S. (1994). The capabilities of market-driven organizations. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(4), 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800404>
7. Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10–11), 1105–1121. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266\(200010/11\)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E)
8. Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 417–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12105>
9. Jacobides, M. G., Cennamo, C., & Gawer, A. (2018). Towards a theory of ecosystems. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(8), 2255–2276. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2904>
10. Kohli, A. K., & Jaworski, B. J. (1990). Market orientation: The construct, research propositions, and managerial implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299005400201>
11. Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of a market orientation on business profitability. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(4), 20–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299005400403>
12. Neslin, S. A., Grewal, D., Leghorn, R., Shankar, V., Teerling, M. L., Thomas, J. S., & Verhoef, P. C. (2006). Challenges and opportunities in multichannel customer management. *Journal of Service Research*, 9(2), 95–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670506293559>
13. Parker, G. G., & Van Alstyne, M. W. (2005). Two-sided network effects: A theory of information product design. *Management Science*, 51(10), 1494–1504. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1050.0400>
14. Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance*. Free Press.
15. Rochet, J.-C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1(4), 990–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1162/154247603322493212>
16. Slywotzky, A. J. (1996). *Value migration: How to think several moves ahead of the competition*. Harvard Business School Press.
17. Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>



-
18. Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 172–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003>
 19. Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036>
 20. Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(2), 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2015.02.005>